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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Land Transportation Office (LTO), being the primary agency responsible for the nationwide registration and regulation of all types of road transport motor vehicles, is a major source of transport data. These data could provide useful information relevant to transport planning and programming when further analysis and statistical treatment are applied.

In this report, the annual motor vehicle registration records of LTO was statistically treated using regression based and time-series forecasting techniques to come up with simple but reliable estimates that would give transport planners an overview of the motor vehicle fleet in the next five years. This information may serve as a vital input in designing appropriate policies, programs and infrastructure development, as government needs to consider future trends in motor vehicle registration to effectively address the problems of traffic congestion and environmental degradation.

## 2. GENERAL METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

The Gross Regional Domestic Product or GRDP was used as predictor of motor vehicle registration in each region. The rationale for considering such factor is that growth in motor vehicle fleet is generally induced by growth in productivity, income and population, which are reflected in the GRDP. Furthermore, correlation and regression analysis indicated significant relationship and predictability and comparison between actual and projected values showed minimal percentage errors.

The methodological framework used in forecasting could be summarized in the following procedures:

- Use GRDP as a predictor of motor vehicle registration volume
- Use NEDA GDP rates projections for 2001 to 2005
- Forecast GRDP share of each region to National GDP using time-series regression or naïve forecasting techniques.
- Use forecasted GRDP shares to compute GRDP in each region
- Apply regression analysis between GRDP and total motor vehicle registration volume by type of vehicle. (Car, PUV, Bus, MC/TC, Trucks and Trailers)
- Use regression models (equations) to forecast volume of motor vehicle registration


## 3. PROJECTED NATIONAL GDP

Table 3-1 shows the projected GDP rates for the period 2001 to 2005 released by NEDA. It is important to take note that NEDA adjusts its projections as economic and political condition changes. Therefore, vehicle registration forecast should be adjusted as well.

Table 3-1 Projected GDP Rates

| Growth | Rate |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 2002 | $5.4 \%$ |
| 2003 | $5.7 \%$ |
| 2004 | $6.1 \%$ |
| 2005 | $6.4 \%$ |
| Source: NEDA |  |

## 4. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MOTOR VEHICLE REGISTRATION AND GROSS REGIONAL DOMESTIC PRODUCT (GRDP)

The regression analysis between motor vehicle registration and GRDP has been carried out for the whole nation and for each region and vehicle type. The results, generally, indicated positive correlation between the two variables. In statistical terms, the relationship may be described as follows:

$$
\mathbf{M V R}_{\mathrm{vrt}}=\mathbf{A}_{\mathrm{vr}}+\mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{vr}}\left(\mathbf{G R D P}_{\mathrm{rt}}\right)
$$

Where: $\mathbf{M V R}_{\mathbf{v r t}}$ is the registration volume of vehicle $\mathbf{v}$ for region $\mathbf{r}$ in the year $\mathbf{t}$
GRDP $_{\mathbf{r t}}$ is the projected GRDP for region $\mathbf{r}$ in the year $\mathbf{t}$
A and $\mathbf{B}$ are the constants
Figure 4-1 shows the scoter plot illustrating the universal relationship between each region's GRDP and motor vehicle registration volume.

Figure 4-1


## 5. MOTOR VEHICLE REGISTARTION FORECASTS

The focus of analyses will be on the nationwide forecast and highly urbanized regions in Luzon, which includes the NCR, Region III and IV. The forecasts were made under the assumptions that the government's projected GDP rates will be met and that all other factors affecting the growth of motor vehicles remain constant.

### 5.1. All Types of Vehicle Forecast

All Types Vehicles comprises both private and for-hire Cars, Buses, Public Utility Vehicles (PUV), Motorcycles and Tricycles (MC/TC), Trucks and Trailers.

## All Types Motor Vehicles Registration Forecast: Philippines and NCR

## Philippine Forecast

From 1991 to 2000, the Philippines' total motor vehicle registration volume had been increasing at an average rate of $8.75 \%$. Its last 5 years average growth rate, however, decreased to $7.52 \%$ vis-à-vis the 1991 to 1995 annual average growth rate of $11 \%$. This happened as prices of motor vehicles and interest rates went up due to the 1997 Asian financial crisis.

Figure 4-1 shows the trend and 5-year projection on the Philippines' total motor vehicle registration. Using the NEDA projected GDP growth rates, the total volume of motor vehicles is expected to grow at an annual average rate of $9.11 \%$ from 2001 to 2005 . This translates to a volume of $5,822,204$ motor vehicles by 2005 , a $57 \%$ increase from year 2000's 3,773,517.

## Regression Equation: MVR $_{\text {All Types Phil }}=\mathbf{- 3 8 1 1 4 1 7}+\mathbf{0 . 0 0 7 9}\left(\right.$ GRDP $\left._{\text {Phil }}\right)$

Figure 4-1


Table 4-1

| Projected | Philippines |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Motor <br> Vehicles | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth <br> Rate |
| 2001 | $4,025,139$ |  |
| 2002 | $4,338,601$ |  |
| 2003 | $4,778,702$ | $9.11 \%$ |
| 2004 | $5,268,338$ |  |
| 2005 | $5,822,204$ |  |

## National Capital Region (NCR) Forecast

For the past 5 years (1996-2000), NCR's volume of vehicle registration had been growing at decreasing rates, as illustrated in figure 4-2. It even registered a negative growth of $1.5 \%$ in 1998, the same year when the country posted a negative GDP. However, taking into consideration the country's anticipated recovery in the medium-term period, NCR's motor vehicles is projected to grow annually at an average rate of $7.23 \%$ in the next 5 years.

Figure 4-2


Table 4-2

| Projected <br> Total Motor <br> Vehicles | NCR |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | $1,420,075$ |  |
| 2002 | $1,501,452$ |  |
| 2003 | $1,612,862$ | $7.23 \%$ |
| 2004 | $1,736,827$ |  |
| 2005 | $1,876,954$ |  |

All Types Motor Vehicle Registration Forecast: Region III, IV \& VII
Region III (Central Luzon) Forecast
Central Luzon's motor vehicle volume is projected to grow annually at an average of $9.10 \%$ as compared with its $9.56 \%$ average growth from 1996 to 2000. This means that there would be about 586,531 motor vehicles in 2005, which translates to a $34 \%$ growth from its year 2000 motor vehicle volume of 432,657 .

In the case of Central Luzon, however, it is important to mention that only $77 \%$ predictability of motor vehicle registration is explained by its GRDP. Looking at figure 4-3, the actual volume of vehicle registration shows a steady growth despite the significant decline in GRDP in 1998 as reflected in the forecasted volume in the same year. This discrepancy may be attributed to the phenomenal growth of motorcycle and tricycle registration volume as well as the significant growth of cars from 1996 to 2000 despite the financial crisis. (Details regarding Car and $\mathrm{MC} / \mathrm{TC}$ registration forecasts are presented on section 4.2 and 4.4 of this report.)
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Table 4-3

| Projected | Region III |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Motor <br> Vehicles | Volume | A ve. Annual <br> Growth <br> Rate |
| 2001 | 411,452 |  |
| 2002 | 453,185 |  |
| 2003 | 492,711 | $9.10 \%$ |
| 2004 | 536,663 |  |
| 2005 | 586,531 |  |

## Region IV (Southern Tagalog) Forecast

The Southern Tagalog Region registered phenomenal growth rates in the past 10 years with an average rate of $11 \%$. It is estimated that it would continue to grow at an average rate of $6.5 \%$ from 2001 to 2005 . At this rate, total volume of motor vehicle would reach 637,199 units by the year 2005, which is equivalent to a $31 \%$ volume increase from year 2000 volume of 487,648 vehicles.
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Table 4-4

| Projected |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Motor |
| Vehicles | Volume | A ve. A nnual |
| :---: |
| Growth |
| Rate |$|$

### 4.1.4. Summary of Correlation and Regression Analysis Between All Types Motor Vehicle Registration and GRDP

| All Class / All Types | Philippines | NCR | CAR | Region I | Region II | Region III | Region IV | Region V | Region VI | Region VII | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } \\ & \text { VIII } \end{aligned}$ | Region IX | Region X | Region XI | Region XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation | 99.27\% | 96.58\% | 98.78\% | 98.13\% | 97.44\% | 87.91\% | 98.05\% | 98.52\% | 94.39\% | 99.21\% | 99.34\% | 95.82\% | 94.13\% | 95.72\% | 97.85\% |
| R Square | 98.54\% | 93.28\% | 97.57\% | 96.29\% | 94.95\% | 77.28\% | 96.14\% | 97.07\% | 89.10\% | 98.43\% | 98.68\% | 91.82\% | 88.60\% | 91.62\% | 95.74\% |
| Intercept | -3811417 | -374242 | -17208.5 | -142805 | -84355.7 | -563191 | -708810 | -227464 | -316323 | -299294 | -103991 | -108626 | 49523.1 | -325621 | -74770.4 |
| Slope | 0.007936 | 0.005837 | 0.00287 | 0.011 | 0.00961 | 0.01109 | 0.0081 | 0.01225 | 0.00772 | 0.00918 | 0.00768 | 0.00743 | 3300.25 | 0.00842 | 0.00435 |
| Mean Absolute \% Error (MAPE) | 2.85\% | 3.33\% | 3.63\% | 5.77\% | 6.24\% | 11.26\% | 4.72\% | 3.36\% | 8.70\% | 4.26\% | 3.08\% | 7.89\% | 4.06\% | 6.36\% | 6.24\% |
| Projected Volume of Motor Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000(actual) | 3,701,173 | 1,286,176 | 47,213 | 194,635 | 124,049 | 438,742 | 487,648 | 96,001 | 200,019 | 297,646 | 69,515 | 89,842 | 83,902 | 182,870 | 37,342 |
| 2001 | 4,025,139 | 1,420,075 | 51,370 | 212,462 | 133,610 | 411,452 | 488,887 | 103,381 | 194,609 | 320,668 | 76,875 | 98,316 | 85,826 | 189,553 | 40,857 |
| 2002 | 4,338,601 | 1,501,452 | 55,727 | 231,640 | 147,226 | 453,185 | 514,972 | 112,235 | 206,341 | 345,401 | 84,245 | 106,123 | 89,126 | 202,185 | 45,482 |
| 2003 | 4,778,702 | 1,612,862 | 61,367 | 257,095 | 164,894 | 492,711 | 554,613 | 125,962 | 225,389 | 379,188 | 94,797 | 118,239 | 92,426 | 222,281 | 51,976 |
| 2004 | 5,268,338 | 1,736,827 | 67,644 | 285,423 | 184,558 | 536,663 | 595,039 | 141,228 | 246,567 | 418,609 | 105,805 | 130,979 | 95,727 | 244,627 | 59,201 |
| 2005 | 5,822,204 | 1,876,954 | 74,727 | 317,416 | 206,752 | 586,531 | 637,199 | 158,541 | 270,613 | 462,256 | 118,686 | 145,536 | 99,027 | 269,985 | 67,373 |
| Motor Vehicle Registration Average Grow th Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-2000 | 8.75\% | 6.23\% | 9.56\% | 10.21\% | 14.31\% | 9.10\% | 11.18\% | 10.31\% | 8.22\% | 10.51\% | 11.18\% | 11.53\% | 5.25\% | 9.70\% | 14.11\% |
| 2001-2005 | 9.11\% | 7.23\% | 9.62\% | 10.28\% | 11.54\% | 9.10\% | 6.50\% | 10.57\% | 6.34\% | 9.23\% | 11.30\% | 10.14\% | 3.37\% | 8.14\% | 12.54\% |
| 1996-2000 | 7.52\% | 4.14\% | 8.88\% | 10.29\% | 14.24\% | 9.56\% | 10.51\% | 11.20\% | 9.47\% | 10.00\% | 11.91\% | 10.33\% | 4.33\% | 9.68\% | 13.77\% |

### 4.1.5. All Types Motor Vehicle Registration Data (1981-2000)

| YEAR | Class | TYPE | PHILS | NCR | CAR | 1 | II | III | IV | v | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | XI | XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 |  | $\frac{\text { © }}{\stackrel{0}{2}} \underset{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\gtrless}}{\overline{<}}$ | 1,006,030 | 445,064 | 0 | 52,957 | 22,410 | 127,623 | 87,993 | 21,194 | 55,526 | 55,192 | 15,788 | 19,740 | 34,808 | 49,865 | 17,870 |
| 1982 |  |  | 1,087,180 | 470,800 | 0 | 58,822 | 26,828 | 138,092 | 98,128 | 25,892 | 58,614 | 60,407 | 17,454 | 22,969 | 36,275 | 54,627 | 18,272 |
| 1983 |  |  | 1,200,803 | 510,504 | 0 | 67,800 | 27,784 | 158,895 | 112,339 | 28,651 | 63,017 | 68,726 | 20,283 | 25,152 | 40,830 | 56,979 | 19,843 |
| 1984 |  |  | 1,165,557 | 477,561 | 0 | 68,090 | 28,004 | 163,956 | 111,114 | 27,253 | 60,582 | 65,140 | 20,406 | 25,765 | 38,701 | 57,797 | 21,188 |
| 1985 |  |  | 1,120,172 | 463,813 | 0 | 64,665 | 27,552 | 158,371 | 109,717 | 26,219 | 55,461 | 60,908 | 18,699 | 24,302 | 36,929 | 53,328 | 20,208 |
| 1986 |  |  | 1,185,832 | 479,850 | 0 | 71,143 | 29,222 | 171,030 | 119,266 | 27,922 | 61,086 | 64,129 | 18,682 | 26,919 | 38,294 | 58,314 | 19,975 |
| 1987 |  |  | 1,176,753 | 490,428 | 0 | 67,219 | 27,339 | 161,618 | 120,019 | 27,223 | 61,230 | 56,794 | 20,209 | 26,328 | 39,665 | 59,146 | 19,535 |
| 1988 |  |  | 1,270,483 | 517,984 | 0 | 73,518 | 30,408 | 172,020 | 130,250 | 28,761 | 59,054 | 78,189 | 21,437 | 27,997 | 43,563 | 63,357 | 23,945 |
| 1989 |  |  | 1,431,464 | 588,238 | 15,741 | 66,278 | 34,427 | 187,223 | 150,742 | 31,365 | 71,695 | 89,546 | 22,967 | 30,149 | 45,717 | 70,173 | 27,203 |
| 1990 |  |  | 1,620,242 | 684,778 | 18,659 | 74,079 | 38,668 | 197,970 | 167,492 | 34,901 | 77,424 | 107,192 | 24,650 | 34,392 | 49,232 | 81,247 | 29,558 |
| 1991 |  |  | 1,715,366 | 721,776 | 20,829 | 79,577 | 42,482 | 200,827 | 178,648 | 38,366 | 87,294 | 115,651 | 26,364 | 35,921 | 52,969 | 83,283 | 31,379 |
| 1992 |  |  | 1,879,563 | 799,754 | 21,971 | 86,644 | 44,493 | 208,985 | 206,619 | 43,147 | 97,176 | 126,793 | 29,313 | 39,891 | 54,062 | 89,245 | 31,470 |
| 1993 |  |  | 2,125,115 | 901,312 | 24,682 | 96,890 | 51,472 | 230,168 | 241,608 | 47,992 | 108,020 | 148,925 | 32,992 | 44,083 | 58,421 | 104,908 | 33,642 |
| 1994 |  |  | 2,341,469 | 973,550 | 27,257 | 107,280 | 62,297 | 251,139 | 270,313 | 53,433 | 121,859 | 165,944 | 36,762 | 50,450 | 64,545 | 120,469 | 36,171 |
| 1995 |  |  | 2,581,354 | 1,055,692 | 30,893 | 119,352 | 73,051 | 278,229 | 296,512 | 60,568 | 132,756 | 189,635 | 41,744 | 56,611 | 74,249 | 132,057 | 40,005 |
| 1996 |  |  | 2,904,487 | 1,188,724 | 34,542 | 132,546 | 84,627 | 312,664 | 343,482 | 69,880 | 148,025 | 210,912 | 48,500 | 61,096 | 63,506 | 134,896 | 45,402 |
| 1997 |  |  | 3,193,549 | 1,253,669 | 38,443 | 150,007 | 102,207 | 347,602 | 383,178 | 81,009 | 164,445 | 236,357 | 55,720 | 68,947 | 71,403 | 158,208 | 52,953 |
| 1998 |  |  | 3,316,817 | 1,234,372 | 41,920 | 163,158 | 104,689 | 377,058 | 422,743 | 86,921 | 173,430 | 257,667 | 59,220 | 74,200 | 74,482 | 156,563 | 56,382 |
| 1999 |  |  | 3,533,732 | 1,271,227 | 45,004 | 177,129 | 112,660 | 415,090 | 458,621 | 92,315 | 190,461 | 277,509 | 65,305 | 83,783 | 79,206 | 170,132 | 61,466 |
| 2000 |  |  | 3,701,173 | 1,286,176 | 47,213 | 194,635 | 124,049 | 438,742 | 487,648 | 96,001 | 200,019 | 297,646 | 69,515 | 89,842 | 83,902 | 182,870 | 66,586 |

Source: Land Transportation Office

### 4.2. Car Vehicle Registration Forecast

## Car Registration Forecast: Philippines and NCR

The car volume registration of NCR and the whole country in general had been growing steadily from 1991 to 1996. The decreasing growth trends from 1997 to 2000, as could be seen in Figures 4-16 and 4-17, manifest the adverse effect of the Asian crisis in the car industry.

Looking at the NCR graph in Figure 4-17, it shows that there is a downward trend in car registration volume that started in 1997. The Car registration volume had decreased by $2.18 \%$ in 1998 and $3.15 \%$ in 2000 . Despite this negative trend, however, it is predicted that car registration volume will grow in the succeeding years under the assumption that consumer purchasing power and interest rates will improve as the economy recovers.

Therefore, utilizing the government's projected GDP growth rates from the year 2001 to 2005 as predictor, it is estimated that the volume of cars in the Philippines and NCR will increase annually at an average rate of $7.46 \%$ and $6.67 \%$ respectively from 2001 to 2005. Table 4-16 and 4-17 show the projected volume of car registration for the Philippines and NCR.
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Table 4-16

| Projected <br> Car <br> Volume | Philippines |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth R ate |
| 2001 | 863,631 |  |
| 2002 | 917,674 | $7.46 \%$ |
| 2003 | 993,550 |  |
| 2004 | $1,077,967$ |  |
| 2005 | $1,173,457$ |  |

Figure 4-17


Table 4-17

| Projected <br> Car <br> Volume | NCR |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth R ate |
| 2001 | 545,115 |  |
| 2002 | 569,749 | $6.67 \%$ |
| 2003 | 602,324 |  |
| 2004 | 646,921 |  |
| 2005 | 696,544 |  |

## Car Registration Forecast: Region III, IV and VII

## Region III (Central Luzon) Forecast

Region III's car registration volume is expected to reach 67,594 units in 2005, growing at the rate of $4.22 \%$ annually. As of the year 2000, there were already about 58,400 cars registered in Region III. In this case, however, the Time-Series Linear Trend Regression Analysis method was used since the regression analysis between GRDP and car registration did not show satisfactory correlation and predictability.

Figure 4-18


Table 4-18

| Projected <br> Car <br> Volume | Region III |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. Annual <br> Growth R ate |
| 2001 | 57,507 |  |
| 2002 | 60,029 | $4.22 \%$ |
| 2003 | 62,551 |  |
| 2004 | 65,073 |  |
| 2005 | 67,594 |  |

## Region IV (Southern Tagalog) Forecast

Region IV's vehicle units are estimated to grow at an average rate of $8.71 \%$ annually. Basing from the region's projected economic performance, it is estimated that about 84,716 cars will be registered in 2005 as compared with its 67,143 cars in 2000.

The high growth rate of car registration volume is indicative of rapid urbanization in this region.

Figure 4-19


Table 4-19

| Projected <br> Car <br> Volume | Region IV |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth R ate |
| 2001 | 64,916 |  |
| 2002 | 68,398 |  |
| 2003 | 73,691 | $8.71 \%$ |
| 2004 | 79,088 |  |
| 2005 | 84,716 |  |

### 4.2.1 Summary of Correlation and Regression Analysis Between Car Registration Volume and GRDP

| All Class / Cars | Philippines | NCR | CAR | Region I | Region II | Region III | Region IV | Region V | Region VI | Region <br> VII | Region VIII | Region IX | Region X | Region XI | Region XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation | 97.65\% | 92.19\% | 98.38\% | 98.72\% | 96.49\% | 92.47\% | 95.52\% | 96.82\% | 94.14\% | 93.82\% | 97.27\% | 98.71\% | 97.07\% | 92.05\% | 93.41\% |
| R Square | 95.36\% | 84.99\% | 96.79\% | 97.45\% | 93.10\% | 85.51\% | 91.25\% | 93.74\% | 88.63\% | 88.03\% | 94.61\% | 97.43\% | 94.23\% | 84.74\% | 87.25\% |
| Intercept | -487445 | -148512 | -2883.36 | -12626.3 | -3318.04 | 29765.1 | -94983 | -15032.3 | -26695.3 | -13859.9 | -3969.33 | -4827.53 | 5803.07 | -26510.4 | -3830.69 |
| Slope | 0.001368 | 0.002337 | 0.0006 | 0.00102 | 0.00046 | 2521.96 | 0.00108 | 0.00085 | 0.00079 | 0.00084 | 0.00032 | 0.00034 | 342.552 | 0.0008 | 0.00035 |
| Mean Absolute \% Error (MAPE) | 3.45\% | 5.91\% | 4.37\% | 4.28\% | 4.95\% | 5.52\% | 7.68\% | 4.34\% | 5.41\% | 5.96\% | 4.90\% | 3.00\% | 2.67\% | 6.86\% | 5.20\% |
| Projected Volume of Motor Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000(actual) | 767,948 | 491,128 | 10,230 | 18,955 | 6,621 | 58,401 | 67,143 | 7,668 | 25,336 | 37,278 | 3,457 | 4,291 | 9,088 | 20,809 | 5,567 |
| 2001 | 863,631 | 569,749 | 11,380 | 20,390 | 7,138 | 57,507 | 64,916 | 8,022 | 25,630 | 42,871 | 3,569 | 4,577 | 9,571 | 22,466 | 5,537 |
| 2002 | 917,674 | 602,324 | 12,286 | 22,173 | 7,791 | 60,029 | 68,398 | 8,639 | 26,832 | 45,134 | 3,876 | 4,931 | 9,914 | 23,667 | 5,912 |
| 2003 | 993,550 | 646,921 | 13,459 | 24,538 | 8,639 | 62,551 | 73,691 | 9,596 | 28,783 | 48,226 | 4,316 | 5,482 | 10,256 | 25,577 | 6,438 |
| 2004 | 1,077,967 | 696,544 | 14,765 | 27,171 | 9,582 | 65,073 | 79,088 | 10,659 | 30,951 | 51,833 | 4,775 | 6,061 | 10,599 | 27,701 | 7,023 |
| 2005 | 1,173,457 | 752,637 | 16,238 | 30,144 | 10,647 | 67,594 | 84,716 | 11,866 | 33,414 | 55,827 | 5,312 | 6,722 | 10,941 | 30,112 | 7,685 |
| Motor Vehicle Registration Average Grow th Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-2000 | 6.04\% | 6.72\% | 8.20\% | 9.03\% | 12.11\% | 4.97\% | 10.98\% | 8.56\% | 5.94\% | 5.77\% | 8.40\% | 10.01\% | 4.30\% | 7.43\% | 6.87\% |
| 2001-2005 | 7.46\% | 6.67\% | 9.69\% | 9.73\% | 10.51\% | 4.22\% | 7.25\% | 9.16\% | 5.72\% | 6.51\% | 9.01\% | 11.88\% | 3.78\% | 7.68\% | 6.73\% |
| 1996-2000 | 4.25\% | 4.59\% | 7.42\% | 10.07\% | 17.26\% | 8.39\% | 12.58\% | 10.55\% | 7.37\% | 3.28\% | 12.70\% | 12.16\% | 4.74\% | 5.60\% | 7.78\% |

### 4.2.2 Car Vehicle Registration Data (1981-2000)

| YEAR | Class | TYPE | PHILS | NCR | CAR | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | XI | XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1981 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathscr{0} \\ & \mathbb{0} \\ & \underset{\sim}{0} \\ & \stackrel{U}{\mathbf{U}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\infty}{\widetilde{0}} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 318,085 | 205,691 |  | 9,909 | 2,497 | 33,458 | 19,570 | 3,192 | 11,371 | 13,615 | 1,264 | 1,816 | 4,232 | 9,026 | 2,444 |
| 1982 |  |  | 342,878 | 224,036 |  | 10,196 | 2,478 | 34,340 | 22,234 | 3,322 | 11,664 | 14,420 | 1,309 | 1,969 | 4,698 | 9,572 | 2,640 |
| 1983 |  |  | 367,002 | 237,366 |  | 11,184 | 2,741 | 38,553 | 23,964 | 3,408 | 12,570 | 16,542 | 1,588 | 2,112 | 4,771 | 9,468 | 2,735 |
| 1984 |  |  | 360,723 | 227,328 |  | 11,407 | 2,677 | 42,140 | 24,538 | 3,402 | 12,340 | 16,037 | 1,654 | 2,308 | 4,669 | 9,356 | 2,867 |
| 1985 |  |  | 347,949 | 222,105 |  | 11,213 | 2,716 | 40,194 | 22,315 | 3,222 | 11,381 | 15,194 | 1,610 | 2,141 | 4,661 | 8,512 | 2,685 |
| 1986 |  |  | 356,688 | 228,844 |  | 11,500 | 2,756 | 40,847 | 22,929 | 3,278 | 11,512 | 14,875 | 1,686 | 2,228 | 4,725 | 8,819 | 2,689 |
| 1987 |  |  | 358,765 | 231,139 |  | 12,033 | 2,968 | 41,107 | 22,764 | 3,261 | 11,829 | 13,657 | 1,685 | 2,191 | 4,721 | 8,662 | 2,748 |
| 1988 |  |  | 376,646 | 241,021 |  | 12,309 | 3,059 | 41,214 | 24,365 | 3,283 | 11,752 | 17,647 | 1,668 | 2,139 | 5,413 | 9,701 | 3,075 |
| 1989 |  |  | 412,998 | 269,233 | 4,330 | 8,460 | 3,185 | 42,311 | 26,941 | 3,318 | 12,925 | 19,188 | 1,664 | 2,210 | 5,635 | 10,342 | 3,256 |
| 1990 |  |  | 454,554 | 306,959 | 4,869 | 8,487 | 3,255 | 42,139 | 25,751 | 3,436 | 13,370 | 21,382 | 1,604 | 2,414 | 5,767 | 11,692 | 3,429 |
| 1991 |  |  | 453,629 | 306,299 | 5,066 | 8,609 | 3,337 | 38,066 | 25,852 | 3,522 | 14,313 | 22,747 | 1,618 | 2,275 | 6,336 | 12,273 | 3,316 |
| 1992 |  |  | 481,060 | 330,664 | 5,325 | 8,812 | 3,324 | 36,129 | 28,236 | 3,959 | 16,072 | 23,613 | 1,659 | 2,163 | 6,262 | 11,585 | 3,257 |
| 1993 |  |  | 531,240 | 370,389 | 5,607 | 9,295 | 3,250 | 35,272 | 31,565 | 4,095 | 16,544 | 26,804 | 1,777 | 2,241 | 6,430 | 14,448 | 3,523 |
| 1994 |  |  | 572,766 | 397,014 | 6,270 | 10,262 | 3,531 | 36,955 | 34,187 | 4,499 | 18,175 | 28,926 | 1,895 | 2,298 | 7,105 | 17,957 | 3,692 |
| 1995 |  |  | 626,571 | 438,117 | 7,176 | 11,739 | 3,936 | 39,117 | 37,228 | 5,039 | 19,124 | 31,887 | 2,050 | 2,459 | 8,033 | 16,811 | 3,855 |
| 1996 |  |  | 702,578 | 493,496 | 7,930 | 13,197 | 4,315 | 41,765 | 43,233 | 5,520 | 20,838 | 35,321 | 2,162 | 2,570 | 7,949 | 17,364 | 4,045 |
| 1997 |  |  | 743,299 | 513,255 | 8,873 | 14,488 | 5,064 | 46,503 | 48,604 | 6,278 | 22,891 | 37,239 | 2,481 | 3,268 | 8,381 | 19,797 | 4,370 |
| 1998 |  |  | 749,204 | 502,059 | 9,615 | 15,484 | 5,581 | 48,989 | 56,823 | 6,744 | 24,088 | 38,616 | 2,668 | 3,567 | 8,508 | 20,056 | 4,342 |
| 1999 |  |  | 773,835 | 507,109 | 10,073 | 17,098 | 6,435 | 55,162 | 62,746 | 7,520 | 25,401 | 38,331 | 3,283 | 3,846 | 8,779 | 20,771 | 5,072 |
| 2000 |  |  | 767,948 | 491,128 | 10,230 | 18,955 | 6,621 | 58,401 | 67,143 | 7,668 | 25,336 | 37,278 | 3,457 | 4,291 | 9,088 | 20,809 | 5,567 |

Source: Land Transportation Office

### 4.3 Utility Vehicles (UV) Registration Forecast

Utility Vehicles may be described as small van-type vehicles commonly used for either delivery of commodities or as passenger shuttles that accommodate 10 to 20 passengers. (e.g. jeepney, Tamaraw FX, L300 Van, etc.)

## Utility Vehicle Registration Forecast: Philippines and NCR

## Philippine Forecast

In 1991 to 1997, the total volume of UV had been growing significantly due to the emergence of new types of UV's such as the Tamaraw FX. The growth had declined in 1998 to 2000, the period of recession. From 2001 to 2005, the total volume of UV is predicted to increase at an average rate of $8.83 \%$ from its 5 -year previous average growth of $6.84 \%$. A total of $2,118,298$ are projected to be registered with LTO in 2005.

Figure 4-31


Table 4-31

| Projected <br> UV Volume | Philippines |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Ave. Annual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2001 | $1,491,533$ |  |
| 2002 | $1,600,859$ |  |
| 2003 | $1,754,354$ | $8.83 \%$ |
| 2004 | $1,925,125$ |  |
| 2005 | $2,118,298$ |  |

## NCR Forecast

For NCR, projected average annual growth is estimated to be at $7.54 \%$ from its 5year previous average of $4.90 \%$. A total of about $768,000 \mathrm{UVs}$ are predicted to be registered in 2005.

Certain factors, though, may affect the growth of UV registration volume particularly those for renewal. These are as follows:

- The imposition of age requirement as provided by the Clean Air Act
- The implementation of stricter emission standards (Euro 1) by 2003.
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Table 4-32

| Projected <br> UV Volume | NCR |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | Ave. Annual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2001 | 582,265 |  |
| 2002 | 620,923 |  |
| 2003 | 673,848 | $8.39 \%$ |
| 2004 | 732,738 |  |
| 2005 | 799,305 |  |

## Utility Vehicle Registration Forecast: Region III, IV, and VII

## Region III (Central Luzon) Forecast

The Utility Vehicle registration volume of Southern Luzon had been growing steadily from 1991 to 1996 at an average rate of $8.30 \%$. Growth started to decline from 1997 to 2000. With the anticipated economic growth in 2001 to 2005, it is forecasted that volume of UV registration will reach 251,323 units from year 2000's 184,468 units. Projected annual average growth rate is $8.52 \%$ as indicated in Table 4-33.
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Table 4-33

| Projected <br> UV Volume | Region III |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | Ave. Annual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2001 | 180,061 |  |
| 2002 | 197,047 |  |
| 2003 | 213,136 | $8.52 \%$ |
| 2004 | 231,026 |  |
| 2005 | 251,323 |  |

Region IV (Southern Tagalog) Forecast
For the past 10 years Southern Tagalog's volume of UV registration had been growing at decreasing rates. Growth rates fell from a high of $16 \%$ in 1992 to as low as $3.90 \%$ in 2000 . As of the latter year, there are 219,572 utility vehicles registered in this region. It is predicted that UV registration will continue to grow at an average rate of $5.72 \%$ from 2001 to 2005. This translates to a volume of 286,220 vehicles by 2005.
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Table 4-34

| Projected <br> UV <br> Volume | Region IV |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2001 | 226,348 |  |
| 2002 | 236,878 |  |
| 2003 | 252,881 | $5.72 \%$ |
| 2004 | 269,201 |  |
| 2005 | 286,220 |  |

### 4.3.1 Summary of Correlation and Regression Analysis Between UV Registration Volume and GRDP

| All Class / Utility Vehicle | Philippines | NCR | CAR | Region I | Region II | Region III | Region IV | Region V | Region VI | Region VII | Region VIII | Region IX | Region X | Region XI | Region XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation | 98.98\% | 94.69\% | 98.65\% | 98.41\% | 93.12\% | 91.54\% | 98.73\% | 97.82\% | 94.04\% | 98.30\% | 99.51\% | 95.36\% | 92.44\% | 93.68\% | 96.20\% |
| R Square | 97.98\% | 89.65\% | 97.31\% | 96.85\% | 86.71\% | 83.80\% | 97.48\% | 95.68\% | 88.44\% | 96.63\% | 99.02\% | 90.93\% | 85.46\% | 87.76\% | 92.55\% |
| Intercept | -1241634 | -270126.2 | -7400.7 | -37398.2 | -21676 | -216650 | -257155 | -52740.6 | -76517.9 | -88933.5 | -24029 | -19975.3 | 17379.3 | -66663.6 | -17289.5 |
| Slope | 0.002768 | 0.002773 | 0.00073 | 0.0032 | 0.00265 | 0.004515 | 0.003269 | 0.003012 | 0.002107 | 0.002664 | 0.00191 | 0.00157 | 843.188 | 0.001916 | 0.001401 |
| Mean Absolute \% Error (MAPE) | 2.99\% | 5.62\% | 6.16\% | 4.47\% | 9.42\% | 8.02\% | 8.90\% | 3.44\% | 6.11\% | 4.73\% | 2.68\% | 6.43\% | 3.49\% | 5.68\% | 4.86\% |
| Projected Volume of Motor Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000(actual) | 1,388,117 | 534,262 | 9,410 | 60,089 | 34,639 | 184,468 | 219,572 | 27,241 | 64,564 | 91,399 | 19,867 | 22,296 | 26,970 | 49,857 | 19,227 |
| 2001 | 1,491,533 | 582,265 | 10,082 | 65,814 | 38,561 | 180,061 | 226,348 | 28,622 | 62,916 | 91,080 | 20,950 | 23,692 | 26,654 | 50,612 | 19,959 |
| 2002 | 1,600,859 | 620,923 | 11,193 | 71,386 | 42,324 | 197,047 | 236,878 | 30,800 | 66,118 | 98,262 | 22,783 | 25,339 | 27,498 | 53,488 | 21,449 |
| 2003 | 1,754,354 | 673,848 | 12,631 | 78,781 | 47,206 | 213,136 | 252,881 | 34,176 | 71,316 | 108,072 | 25,407 | 27,896 | 28,341 | 58,062 | 23,541 |
| 2004 | 1,925,125 | 732,738 | 14,231 | 87,011 | 52,641 | 231,026 | 269,201 | 37,930 | 77,096 | 119,519 | 28,145 | 30,584 | 29,184 | 63,149 | 25,868 |
| 2005 | 2,118,298 | 799,305 | 16,037 | 96,306 | 58,774 | 251,323 | 286,220 | 42,187 | 83,658 | 132,192 | 31,348 | 33,656 | 30,027 | 68,922 | 28,501 |
| Motor Vehicle Registration Average Growth Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-2000 | 8.44\% | 7.66\% | 15.62\% | 9.28\% | 11.06\% | 8.30\% | 9.70\% | 9.27\% | 7.58\% | 11.62\% | 9.51\% | 8.54\% | 4.31\% | 8.41\% | 6.83\% |
| 2001-2005 | 8.83\% | 8.39\% | 11.27\% | 9.90\% | 11.16\% | 8.52\% | 5.72\% | 9.17\% | 5.37\% | 9.41\% | 9.57\% | 8.60\% | 2.18\% | 6.73\% | 8.22\% |
| 1996-2000 | 6.84\% | 4.90\% | 14.56\% | 8.85\% | 9.90\% | 7.24\% | 7.17\% | 8.48\% | 6.90\% | 12.89\% | 10.88\% | 7.71\% | 3.07\% | 9.10\% | 8.27\% |

### 4.3.2 Utility Vehicle Registration Data (1981-2000)

| YEAR | CLASS | TYPE | PHILS | NCR | CAR | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | XI | XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 |  |  | 192,298 | 63,972 |  | 11,220 | 5,863 | 25,947 | 26,518 | 4,211 | 13,166 | 10,300 | 4,956 | 3,518 | 6,549 | 10,537 | 5,541 |
| 1981 |  |  | 364,706 | 162,693 |  | 19,435 | 7,519 | 47,054 | 40,295 | 7,245 | 18,866 | 15,572 | 5,318 | 6,181 | 11,549 | 16,873 | 6,106 |
| 1982 |  |  | 384,481 | 163,679 |  | 21,265 | 8,799 | 49,547 | 44,688 | 8,761 | 19,511 | 18,115 | 5,833 | 6,890 | 12,144 | 18,533 | 6,716 |
| 1983 |  |  | 427,925 | 179,361 |  | 24,878 | 9,306 | 57,512 | 51,151 | 9,722 | 21,954 | 20,673 | 6,591 | 7,481 | 13,057 | 18,962 | 7,277 |
| 1984 |  |  | 416,721 | 169,232 |  | 24,950 | 9,155 | 58,921 | 50,109 | 9,564 | 21,098 | 19,807 | 6,798 | 7,523 | 12,582 | 19,048 | 7,934 |
| 1985 |  |  | 405,830 | 165,693 |  | 23,956 | 9,189 | 59,930 | 50,886 | 8,946 | 19,892 | 18,305 | 6,322 | 6,961 | 11,554 | 17,031 | 7,165 |
| 1986 |  |  | 416,554 | 169,561 |  | 24,701 | 8,493 | 61,582 | 53,993 | 8,905 | 20,444 | 18,973 | 6,076 | 7,298 | 11,814 | 17,644 | 7,070 |
| 1987 |  |  | 441,757 | 179,465 |  | 25,979 | 9,020 | 65,207 | 59,329 | 9,315 | 22,439 | 17,348 | 6,902 | 7,643 | 13,005 | 18,858 | 7,247 |
| 1988 |  |  | 475,099 | 189,404 |  | 27,922 | 10,178 | 69,809 | 65,987 | 9,887 | 20,347 | 23,500 | 7,194 | 8,138 | 14,387 | 19,750 | 8,596 |
| 1989 |  |  | 536,405 | 216,497 | 8,323 | 22,597 | 11,094 | 76,612 | 74,476 | 10,327 | 26,633 | 26,398 | 7,753 | 8,711 | 15,540 | 22,034 | 9,410 |
| 1990 |  |  | 615,603 | 251,635 | 9,930 | 25,202 | 12,492 | 84,817 | 88,436 | 11,081 | 29,095 | 30,973 | 8,006 | 9,913 | 17,698 | 26,142 | 10,183 |
| 1991 |  |  | 670,848 | 277,533 | 11,069 | 27,072 | 13,460 | 90,179 | 95,932 | 12,296 | 33,525 | 34,091 | 8,806 | 10,697 | 18,852 | 26,697 | 10,639 |
| 1992 |  |  | 744,190 | 313,188 | 11,987 | 29,291 | 14,355 | 98,556 | 111,062 | 13,758 | 35,442 | 37,405 | 9,395 | 11,836 | 18,584 | 28,466 | 10,865 |
| 1993 |  |  | 834,168 | 355,942 | 13,381 | 31,828 | 16,076 | 106,778 | 126,289 | 15,029 | 39,911 | 42,198 | 10,226 | 12,874 | 19,645 | 32,114 | 11,877 |
| 1994 |  |  | 912,675 | 389,185 | 14,620 | 35,044 | 18,664 | 117,674 | 139,632 | 16,893 | 43,140 | 45,143 | 10,629 | 13,848 | 20,862 | 35,005 | 12,336 |
| 1995 |  |  | 998,331 | 421,364 | 16,161 | 39,354 | 21,725 | 130,167 | 155,520 | 18,166 | 46,296 | 49,925 | 11,875 | 15,420 | 23,560 | 35,871 | 12,927 |
| 1996 |  |  | 1,101,077 | 462,981 | 17,640 | 42,867 | 25,504 | 143,960 | 172,496 | 20,380 | 51,096 | 56,844 | 13,770 | 15,758 | 20,657 | 35,380 | 14,062 |
| 1997 |  |  | 1,191,392 | 487,733 | 19,733 | 48,272 | 29,139 | 156,226 | 185,857 | 22,597 | 53,783 | 63,361 | 15,165 | 17,524 | 22,630 | 45,025 | 15,616 |
| 1998 |  |  | 1,244,019 | 491,861 | 20,938 | 51,518 | 30,234 | 167,224 | 200,084 | 24,228 | 57,131 | 70,415 | 16,510 | 18,600 | 23,440 | 44,797 | 16,638 |
| 1999 |  |  | 1,310,865 | 511,099 | 22,252 | 55,335 | 32,107 | 176,358 | 211,327 | 26,074 | 61,654 | 77,497 | 17,827 | 20,111 | 24,968 | 46,463 | 17,901 |
| 2000 |  |  | 1,388,117 | 534,262 | 23,231 | 60,089 | 34,639 | 184,468 | 219,572 | 27,241 | 64,564 | 91,399 | 19,867 | 22,296 | 26,970 | 49,857 | 19,227 |

Source: Land Transportation Office

### 4.4 Motorcycles/Tricycles (MCTC) Registration Forecast

Motorcycles refer to 2-wheel motorized vehicles. Tricycles, on the other hand, are basically modified motorcycles with a single-wheeled sidecar. Tricycles are generally used for public transport while motorcycles are used privately.

## MC/TC Registration Forecast: Philippines and NCR

## Philippine Forecast

This vehicle type showed remarkable growth since LTO transferred its MC/TC regulatory function to the LGUs in 1991. For the past 10 years, its volume has been increasing at the rate of $13.10 \%$ annually. Its growth slowed down during the recession period but it's expected to increase at an average rate of $11 \%$ in the next 5 years assuming economic recovery. At this rate, total MC/TC volume in 2005 is projected to reach about $2,064,000$ units. This is a $67 \%$ increase from its year 2000 volume of $1,236,000$.
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Table 4-47

| Projected <br> MC/TC <br> Volume | Philippines |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | $1,325,935$ |  |
| 2002 | $1,454,698$ | Ave. Annual |
| Growth Rate |  |  |$|$

## NCR Forecast

The volume of MC/TC in NCR had increased dramatically in 1993 and 1996 with growth rates of $21 \%$ and $23 \%$ respectively. Growth declined to $4 \%$ in 1998 but had increased modestly to $6 \%$ in 2000 . In the next 5 years, it is estimated that MC/TC registration volume will increase annually by $9.86 \%$. Figure $4-48$ and Table 4-48 show the annual projected volume of MC/TC for NCR.
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Table 4-48

| Projected <br> MC/TC <br> Volume | NCR |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2001 | 183,416 |  |
| 2002 | 198,578 | $9.86 \%$ |
| 2003 | 219,337 |  |
| 2004 | 242,435 |  |
| 2005 | 268,545 |  |

## MC/TC Registration Forecast: Region III, IV and VII

## Region III (Central Luzon) Forecast

Motorcycles and Tricycles registration volume had grown notably in Central Luzon. Its average growth rate from 1991 to 2000 is $14.31 \%$. It registered its highest growths of almost $25 \%$ in 1993 and $17.47 \%$ in 1996. As of the year 2000, total MC/TC volume had reached 160,460 from a volume of 48,584 in 1991. Using the time-series quadratic trend regression analysis method, it is predicted that it will grow at an annual average of $10.69 \%$ from 2001 to 2005.
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Table 4-49

| Projected <br> MC/TC <br> Volume | Region III |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | 183,844 |  |
| 2002 | 205,584 | Volume |
| A ve. A nnual <br> Growth Rate |  |  |
| 2003 | 228,795 | $10.69 \%$ |
| 2004 | 253,476 |  |
| 2005 | 279,628 |  |

Region IV (Southern Tagalog) Forecast
Southern Tagalog's MC/TC registration volume had been increasing at decreasing rates since 1996. From a growth rate of $26 \%$ it declined to $10.38 \%$ in 2000. Using the GRDP approach, it is estimated that the number of MC/TC units will increase by an average of $8.69 \%$ every year from 2001 to 2005. At this rate, registration volume is expected to reach about 240,000 units by 2005 from a volume of 173,149 units in 2000.
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Table 4-50

| Projected <br> MC/TC <br> Volume | Region IV |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Volume | A ve. A nnual <br> Growth Rate |
| 2002 | 178,185 |  |
| 2003 | 205,552 | $8.69 \%$ |
| 2004 | 222,385 |  |
| 2005 | 239,939 |  |

### 4.4.1 Summary of Correlation and Regression Analysis Between MC/TC Registration Volume and GRDP

| All Class / MC/TC | Philippines | NCR | CAR | Region 1 | Region II | Region III | Region <br> IV | Region V | Region <br> VI | Region VII | Region <br> VIII | Region IX | Region X | Region XI | Region XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correlation | 99.05\% | 97.17\% | 98.65\% | 97.56\% | 92.84\% | 99.84\% | 97.10\% | 98.67\% | 94.19\% | 99.19\% | 98.69\% | 95.26\% | 92.91\% | 95.49\% | 97.85\% |
| R Square | 98.10\% | 94.42\% | 97.31\% | 95.19\% | 86.19\% | 99.69\% | 94.28\% | 97.36\% | 88.73\% | 98.39\% | 97.40\% | 90.74\% | 86.32\% | 91.19\% | 95.74\% |
| Intercept | -1893128 | -150917 | -7401 | -87600 | -54686 | 41748.3 | -320517 | -143980 | -181327 | -165584 | -66331 | -87912 | 19410 | -202063 | -74770 |
| Slope1 | 0.00326 | 0.001088 | 0.0007 | 0.00612 | 0.0061 | 4830.42 | 0.00337 | 0.00747 | 0.00402 | 0.00466 | 0.00468 | 0.0054 | 1763.2 | 0.00485 | 0.00435 |
| Slope2 |  |  |  |  |  | 735.216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean Absolute \% Error (MAPE) | 4.51\% | 6.22\% | 6.16\% | 7.80\% | 9.75\% | 1.83\% | 8.09\% | 4.38\% | 12.92\% | 4.83\% | 5.29\% | 11.19\% | 4.85\% | 8.17\% | 6.24\% |
| Projected Volume of Motor Vehicles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000(actual) | 1,236,241 | 167,848 | 9,410 | 101,024 | 68,982 | 160,469 | 173,149 | 52,837 | 83,627 | 137,567 | 38,273 | 56,824 | 36,949 | 92,264 | 37,342 |
| 2001 | 1,325,935 | 183,416 | 10,082 | 109,888 | 83,137 | 183,416 | 178,185 | 57,781 | 84,509 | 149,490 | 43,878 | 63,808 | 38,806 | 94,868 | 40,857 |
| 2002 | 1,454,698 | 198,578 | 11,193 | 120,549 | 91,747 | 198,578 | 189,046 | 63,180 | 90,613 | 162,060 | 48,368 | 69,533 | 40,569 | 102,149 | 45,482 |
| 2003 | 1,635,481 | 219,337 | 12,631 | 134,699 | 102,919 | 219,337 | 205,552 | 71,551 | 100,523 | 179,231 | 54,798 | 78,415 | 42,332 | 113,731 | 51,976 |
| 2004 | 1,836,611 | 242,435 | 14,231 | 150,446 | 115,353 | 242,435 | 222,385 | 80,861 | 111,543 | 199,265 | 61,506 | 87,756 | 44,095 | 126,611 | 59,201 |
| 2005 | 2,064,125 | 268,545 | 16,037 | 168,231 | 129,386 | 268,545 | 239,939 | 91,419 | 124,054 | 221,447 | 69,355 | 98,428 | 45,859 | 141,226 | 67,373 |
| Motor Vehicle Registration Average Grow th Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-2000 | 13.10\% | 9.74\% | 15.62\% | 12.31\% | 16.66\% | 9.74\% | 17.25\% | 12.51\% | 14.71\% | 12.95\% | 13.47\% | 12.67\% | 7.36\% | 12.20\% | 11.42\% |
| 2001-2005 | 10.82\% | 9.86\% | 12.53\% | 10.75\% | 11.24\% | 9.86\% | 8.69\% | 11.60\% | 8.46\% | 9.98\% | 12.63\% | 12.94\% | 4.42\% | 8.94\% | 12.71\% |
| 1996-2000 | 11.85\% | 9.53\% | 14.56\% | 11.89\% | 13.43\% | 9.53\% | 15.81\% | 11.22\% | 13.59\% | 10.63\% | 10.95\% | 11.01\% | 5.01\% | 9.76\% | 14.34\% |

### 4.4.2 MC/TC Registration Data (1981-2000)

| YEAR | CLASS | TYPE | PHILS | NCR | CAR | I | II | III | IV | V | VI | VII | VIII | IX | X | XI | XII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cup \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 236,472 | 41,655 |  | 21,379 | 10,329 | 32,169 | 23,280 | 9,964 | 18,090 | 21,390 | 7,158 | 9,102 | 17,000 | 18,564 | 6,392 |
| 1981 |  |  | 186,990 | 33,921 |  | 16,040 | 7,182 | 25,163 | 16,290 | 7,218 | 12,084 | 18,116 | 6,105 | 9,643 | 13,755 | 15,778 | 5,695 |
| 1982 |  |  | 218,443 | 38,609 |  | 18,935 | 10,478 | 30,563 | 19,412 | 9,727 | 14,160 | 19,096 | 7,314 | 11,917 | 13,993 | 18,349 | 5,890 |
| 1983 |  |  | 261,425 | 47,610 |  | 23,335 | 10,847 | 38,629 | 25,435 | 11,710 | 15,332 | 22,564 | 8,767 | 13,319 | 16,962 | 20,204 | 6,711 |
| 1984 |  |  | 253,333 | 40,433 |  | 23,725 | 11,918 | 40,288 | 23,305 | 10,652 | 15,022 | 21,291 | 8,764 | 13,457 | 15,942 | 21,321 | 7,215 |
| 1985 |  |  | 242,990 | 37,631 |  | 22,066 | 11,452 | 37,440 | 25,122 | 10,835 | 14,205 | 20,502 | 7,896 | 12,802 | 15,440 | 20,049 | 7,550 |
| 1986 |  |  | 288,625 | 43,331 |  | 27,725 | 13,777 | 47,646 | 30,430 | 12,556 | 19,368 | 23,444 | 8,170 | 14,917 | 15,913 | 23,861 | 7,487 |
| 1987 |  |  | 249,568 | 39,439 |  | 21,850 | 10,683 | 34,503 | 25,887 | 11,084 | 16,499 | 19,877 | 8,659 | 13,968 | 16,437 | 23,332 | 7,350 |
| 1988 |  |  | 280,902 | 43,688 |  | 25,353 | 11,825 | 39,953 | 27,120 | 12,134 | 16,237 | 28,484 | 9,485 | 14,894 | 17,120 | 25,206 | 9,403 |
| 1989 |  |  | 330,975 | 53,748 | 1,600 | 28,255 | 14,084 | 45,467 | 35,798 | 14,046 | 19,600 | 34,275 | 10,323 | 16,296 | 17,463 | 28,385 | 11,635 |
| 1990 |  |  | 382,426 | 66,577 | 2,085 | 32,828 | 16,031 | 48,594 | 39,105 | 16,543 | 21,950 | 43,030 | 11,711 | 18,820 | 19,053 | 33,321 | 12,778 |
| 1991 |  |  | 410,127 | 73,851 | 2,658 | 35,585 | 17,858 | 48,584 | 41,945 | 18,485 | 24,502 | 46,303 | 12,395 | 19,519 | 20,013 | 34,092 | 14,337 |
| 1992 |  |  | 458,938 | 80,387 | 2,483 | 39,812 | 18,458 | 52,114 | 50,926 | 21,145 | 29,799 | 52,167 | 14,626 | 22,305 | 21,862 | 38,549 | 14,305 |
| 1993 |  |  | 547,655 | 97,022 | 3,367 | 46,719 | 23,838 | 64,912 | 65,664 | 24,052 | 34,299 | 62,447 | 16,804 | 24,875 | 24,676 | 44,070 | 14,910 |
| 1994 |  |  | 624,292 | 102,670 | 3,817 | 52,172 | 31,010 | 72,170 | 77,551 | 26,761 | 40,788 | 70,077 | 19,807 | 29,856 | 28,322 | 52,481 | 16,810 |
| 1995 |  |  | 708,059 | 107,492 | 4,786 | 57,636 | 37,269 | 82,677 | 83,543 | 31,331 | 46,840 | 83,277 | 22,923 | 33,790 | 33,034 | 63,760 | 19,701 |
| 1996 |  |  | 821,599 | 131,768 | 5,791 | 64,424 | 44,075 | 97,118 | 105,180 | 37,388 | 53,753 | 89,861 | 26,967 | 37,367 | 26,687 | 65,571 | 23,268 |
| 1997 |  |  | 952,044 | 144,953 | 6,360 | 73,998 | 55,548 | 111,751 | 122,155 | 44,658 | 63,818 | 105,139 | 31,728 | 42,502 | 31,138 | 74,298 | 28,423 |
| 1998 |  |  | 1,032,594 | 150,618 | 7,498 | 82,521 | 55,957 | 127,144 | 138,859 | 48,317 | 68,000 | 118,278 | 33,542 | 46,512 | 32,987 | 73,607 | 31,033 |
| 1999 |  |  | 1,144,666 | 158,807 | 8,522 | 90,609 | 60,976 | 149,275 | 156,869 | 50,744 | 77,752 | 130,274 | 36,891 | 53,685 | 35,412 | 83,301 | 34,028 |
| 2000 |  |  | 1,236,241 | 167,848 | 9,410 | 101,024 | 68,982 | 160,469 | 173,149 | 52,837 | 83,627 | 137,567 | 38,273 | 56,824 | 36,949 | 92,264 | 37,342 |

Source: Land Transportation Office

### 4.5 Bus Registration Forecast

Bus refers to passenger motor vehicle with a capacity of more than 18 passengers. Buses are generally used for public transport.

## Bus Registration Forecast: Philippines and NCR

Initial forecast results show that volume of bus units in the entire country is predicted to grow annually at an average of $6 \%$. This translates to a volume of 46,240 in 2005 as compared with the 33,888 units in 2000. However, considering that about $35 \%$ of total units belong to NCR, majority of which are for hire, the volume may be overestimated, as there are existing and future factors that restrict the growth of bus units in the metropolis.

These factors are as follows:

- The development and expansion of mass transit systems particularly MRT 3.
- DOTC Bus Route Rationalization Program
- Vehicle age requirement as provided by the Clean Air Act.
- Implementation of stricter emission standards

Since MRT 3 started its full operation in 2000, it is estimated that about $25 \%$ of total bus units in NCR will be displaced by 2001. After which, it will grow annually by $7.64 \%$ due to population and economic growth. Figure 4-62 and 63 illustrate the rightward shift of the forecast curve as a result of the MRT operation along EDSA. The national forecast was adjusted accordingly as shown in Table 462.

Figure 4-62


Figure 4-63


Table 4-62

| Projected <br> Bus Fleet | Philippines |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | Volume | A ve. A nuual <br> Growt R Rate |
| 2002 | 34,060 |  |
| 2003 | 36,958 | $4.16 \%$ |
| 2004 | 39,399 |  |
| 2005 | 42,223 |  |

Table 4-63

| Projected <br> Bus Fleet | NCR |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume | Ave. A nnual <br> Growth Rate |  |
| 2001 | 8,979 |  |
| 2002 | 9,515 |  |
| 2003 | 10,268 | $7.64 \%$ |
| 2004 | 11,106 |  |
| 2005 | 12,053 |  |

