Dashboard Camera-Aided Test Vehicle Technique for Passenger Load Profile and Travel Time Data Collection for UV Express Transit Services

Dominique Charmaine D. ARANAS[a] , Jose Regin F. REGIDOR[b]

[a,b] *Institute of Civil Engineering, University of the Philippines - Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines 1101*
[a] *E-mail: ddaranas@up.edu.ph*
[b] *E-mail: jfregidor@up.edu.ph*

Abstract: The manual method of traffic data collection is widely used due to its effectiveness and low implementation cost. However, without a mechanism to review and validate recorded data, it's susceptible to data reliability issues from human error. Its manpower-intensive nature also makes it inefficient in collecting data on low-capacity (public transport) vehicles. The study outlines a proposed method that makes use of dual dash cameras to simultaneously collect travel time, delay, and boarding/alighting information through video recordings – minimizing on-site manpower requirements and providing verifiable survey documentation. Data collected using the proposed method were compared with a control (manual) set. Paired t-tests and Wilcoxon Signed-Rank tests conducted on the observations reveal that the proposed method performs equally well as the manual method and is therefore an acceptable alternative. It is recommended that further studies using GPS-equipped dash cameras be explored, as well as the possibility of automation through vision-based object detection and counting technologies.

Keywords: dash camera, camera-aided, travel time, delay, boarding and alighting, methodology

1. INTRODUCTION

While the core elements of public transportation planning may be the same everywhere, differences in socio-economic, cultural, social, and political conditions across different countries or localities introduce a lot of unpredictability in planning. A policy that works in one place is not guaranteed to have the same effect in another. In order to create effective and lasting solutions, policies must be backed by scientific evidence borne out of assessments of the actual conditions in an area. Depending on the type of problem being addressed, different kinds of data are typically collected.

Travel time is the total elapsed time of travel, including stops and delay, necessary for a vehicle to travel from one point to another over a specified route under existing traffic conditions. Travel speed, which is one of the primary measures of assessment of the efficiency or level of service (L.O.S) of a network or corridor, can be derived from this information. In public transportation planning, travel time surveys are conducted to determine the turnaround time (TAT) of vehicles, as well as collect information on the time, duration, location, and causes of delay (DOTr, 2017).

Boarding and alighting surveys, on the other hand, are conducted to determine the load profile of a particular mode or route. This information, paired with origin-destination data, is important in helping planners identify major activity areas along a route, which can be used in efficiently planning locations of transit stops or transfer points.

Over the years, traffic data collection for public transport has been reliant on manual methods because of the nature of the data that need to be collected. While real-time crowd-sourced traffic information is readily accessible from free mobile navigation applications like Waze and Google Maps, these services only provide information for trips made using private vehicles and do not account for the various delays that are unique to public transport vehicles.

Compared to other methods, manual methods are generally inexpensive to conduct. However, they require plenty of manpower and resources to collect information on-site. These methods also rely heavily on the skill, attentiveness, and efficiency of the surveyors assigned to the task (Faghri, 2013). These are prone to human error and are limited by what is reasonably possible for a person to perceive, assess, and record inside a moving vehicle. Any irregularities in the data will only be detected during the data processing phase, long after the survey is concluded. Should any discrepancies arise, surveyors may be called back to explain the possible sources of the errors; however, this approach is also subject to the surveyors' ability to recall the road conditions during the time of the survey.

Aside from these, any unexpected changes in site conditions are difficult to mitigate, especially when the "unusual" conditions occur or are detected after the deployment of manpower on site (Belliss, 2004). In cases when too many irregularities are found, the run is rejected and the survey will have to be repeated, resulting to additional expenses.

The manual method of data collection is particularly tricky for low-capacity public transportation modes such as UV Express Services and Public Utility Jeepneys (PUJs), which have seating capacities ranging from 10-21 passengers. The deployment of surveyors to collect data on these modes drastically reduce the observable data from 14% up to 30%[1] for each trip. Due to the small size of the vehicle, driver behavior and observed vehicle speeds are also likely to be influenced by the presence and proximity of the surveyors.

To overcome these limitations, the study proposes an improved method of data collection with the aid of dash cameras to simultaneously collect travel time, delay, and travel demand (boarding and alighting) data. Dashboard cameras, or simply dash cams, are on-board cameras placed on vehicle dashboards or windshields to collect video footage of the conditions in front of the vehicle. Other versions also include rear cameras to capture views from behind the vehicle, and inward-facing cameras to get videos of conditions inside the vehicle. Dash cams are installed mainly for safety – to provide video evidence in cases of road accidents or other crimes. These devices have gained popularity in recent years and have become affordable and easily accessible in gadget shops located in malls and through online shopping.

The proposed method makes use of rearview mirror dash cameras to simultaneously record video footage outside the vehicle (road conditions, causes of delay) using the front camera, and inside the vehicle (loading and alighting) using the in-vehicle camera or a repurposed rear camera. This paper covers the proposed methodology, and data validation tests conducted for the said method.

1.1. Research Objectives

The development of this methodology is part of a bigger study on the assessment of express transit services in Metro Manila. This paper focuses on the development and verification of the methodology used for the collection of passenger loading (boarding and alighting), travel time, and delay data particularly for UV Express Transit Services.

The study's objectives are as follows:

a. Develop an accessible and sustainable alternative method of collecting passenger load, travel time, and delay information for UV Express Transit Services. Specifically, the

[1] Assumption: At least three surveyors necessary to collect data. Two (2) surveyors for travel time & delay, and one (1) to collect boarding and alighting data (per mode).

proposed method aims to address the drawbacks of the manual method by:

i. Reducing overall manpower deployment requirements;
ii. Maximizing observable (passenger) data per trip;
iii. Protecting data integrity by producing verifiable documentation; and
iv. Introducing potential for automation.

b. Validate the results of the proposed method using appropriate statistical tests.

2. RELATED LITERATURE

2.1. Travel Time and Delay Studies

Travel time and delay studies reveal information on the performance of a corridor (Sigua, 2008). Other common uses include evaluation of congestion, traffic management, problem location identification, model calibration, and evaluation of performance before and after an improvement (Mathew, 2014; Vergel, 2016).

Three common techniques are used in collecting travel time information:

Test vehicle techniques involve driving an "active" test vehicle on a corridor or route to collect data. The amount of time it takes for the test vehicle to pass fixed stations is recorded, and speeds are calculated by dividing the station-to-station distances over the travel time. Time spent in delays (stopped time) are also recorded in order to compute for running time vs travel time.

This technique can be performed using different levels of instrumentation. Manual methods involve using clipboards, stopwatches, and surveyors to manually take note of the information. More advanced methods involve the use of distance measuring instruments (DMI) or global positioning systems (GPS) devices to automatically collect information. (Turner, 1998).

The test vehicle technique typically results in detailed data and require relatively low initials costs (Matthew, 2014); however, a major disadvantage of the technique is its susceptibility to human error. This is compounded by the absence of a means to review data once the test runs are done.

License plate matching techniques involve recording of license plates and arrival times of vehicles at designated stations. This can be done manually or with the aid of tape recorders, video cameras, portable computers, or automatic license plate character recognition systems (Turner, 1998). This technique is advantageous for gathering information on vehicles with fixed routes such as public transportation, but is not efficient for other kinds of vehicles as the method is geographically limited by the location of the checkpoints. Covering large areas or longer routes require the addition of checkpoints and the employment of additional manpower. The accuracy of the recording of license plate data is also prone to error, especially in corridors with high vehicle speeds.

Intelligent Transport System (ITS) probe vehicle techniques make use of "passive" vehicles equipped with instruments such as global positioning systems, radio navigation systems, etc. to collect travel information. Unlike in active vehicle technique, passive probe vehicles can be personal, public transit, or commercial vehicles that are already in the traffic stream i.e. vehicles that are not deployed for the express purpose of collecting data (Turner, 1998). These passive probe vehicles are equipped with several kinds of receivers to collect travel information, and communicate these information real-time to a traffic management centers where this information are stored and processed. Examples of this ITS-based probe vehicles techniques are advanced traveler information systems (ATIS), such as Waze and Google Maps Navigation.

The biggest advantage of such systems is the continuous and automated collection of massive amounts of data that are already saved in digital format (i.e. eliminates the need for encoding and minimizes human error). The method also collects traffic information in its most natural (undisrupted) state, as no additional vehicles or monitoring infrastructure are introduced to the system (Mathew, 2014). While cost of data collection per vehicle is low, initial implementation costs of ITS-based probe vehicles are very high. The method requires a large number of probe vehicles to be minimally equipped with GPS devices, smart phones, and mobile data to collect and transmit information. Additionally, setting up such a system requires highly specialized personnel to develop, implement, operate, and maintain software and hardware. The technique is best for large-scale and long-term data collection efforts.

The U.S. Department of Transportation summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of the three levels of instrumentation techniques in the table below.

Table 1. Comparison of test vehicle travel time data collection techniques

Instrumentation Level	**Costs**			**Skill Level**		**Level of Data Detail**	**Data Accuracy**	**Automation Potential**
	Capital	**Data Collection**	**Data Reduction**	**Data Collection**	**Data Reduction**			
Manual - Pen & Paper	Low	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Tape Recorder	Low	Low	High	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Portable Computer	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI)	High	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	High	Moderate	High
Global Positioning System (GPS)	High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	High	High

Note: Rating scale is relative among the instrumentation levels shown: [high, moderate, low]

2.2. Boarding and Alighting Studies

Passenger load and ridership data are fundamental measures of efficiency of a public transport system. High levels of ridership can be an indicator of success of a system in catering to passengers' transport needs. These measures have a wide array of uses including revenue calculations and projections, route planning and scheduling, and operations planning, among other things (TCRP 29 Synthesis, 1998).

Ridership data can be collected manually or by indirect means such as accessing transaction and ticket records from operators and dispatch personnel. Information on passenger load (boarding and alighting data) can also be collected in this manner for modes that have fixed stops or terminals.

For modes without fixed stops, automatic passenger counting (APC) systems can be installed. However, due to the high costs of these equipment, only large-scale and high-ridership systems can benefit from installing such counters. Operators of lower capacity public transport modes such as jeepneys, shuttles, and UV Express services are typically not interested in collecting these information.

Boarding and alighting studies are typically done by planners and operators to identify major transfer points along a corridor. They can also be done for the same modes during different times of the day to determine differences in passenger load variation throughout the day. These studies are performed manually by assigning surveyors to take trips using the mode surveyed. The surveyors are to be seated in strategic areas with a clear view ingress and egress points; they are then to note the time, location, and number of boarding or alighting passengers from the start to the end of the trip. While this method is an effective way of collecting information, it is still prone to human error and limited to what the surveyor can reasonably observe and note inside a moving vehicle.

2.3. Evaluation of Travel Time Data Collection Methods

Li *et. al.* (2013) used a series of statistical analyses to evaluate three different travel time data collection techniques: GPS, DMI, and manual methods. Results showed that the GPS method was more consistent in terms of accuracy versus the other two methods. The manual method was found to perform equally well when it comes to measuring travel times, but not delay times. However, for short travel distances and for trips without delay, all three methods were concluded to produce the same results at a 95% confidence interval.

A similar study by Faghri, *et. al.* (2014) evaluated the accuracy and automation of travel time and delay data collection methods using manual and GPS-based methods. Travel time data was collected simultaneously using only one vehicle. Results were subjected to parametric and non-parametric tests to assess the results. Since there is no means of knowing the actual "true" travel time and delay for a certain corridor, an evaluation on which method is the "most correct" cannot be made. Hence, the researchers resorted to comparing the three methods to see if they are equal or different. Analysis of means and variances, Wilcoxon signed rank test, and correlation analysis showed that all methods performed equally well for the travel time and delay measurements.

The statistical approach used by the studies were used as a guide to determine the appropriate methods to test the validity of the results of the proposed method.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1. Device Selection

The following minimum specifications were used as criteria for selecting the device:

a. Video resolution (Simultaneous real-time dual high-definition recording)
   i. Front camera (road): at least 1080P, 30 frames per second (fps)
   ii. Rear camera (in-vehicle): at least 720P, 30 frames per second (fps)
b. Camera lens
   i. Front camera (road): wide-angle, at least 160°
   ii. Rear camera (in-vehicle): wide-angle, at least 110°
c. Night mode or low-light mode
d. Color LCD Display at least 2"
e. Audio recording
f. USB charging (compatible with car cigarette lighter receptacle/socket)

Additional factors considered were ease of installation and operation of the device, and minimal obstruction to the driver's view. In line with the study's objective of developing an accessible and sustainable method of data collection, the device must also be affordable and locally available.

Upon careful assessment of the different available brands on the market, the Blade Rearview Mirror HD Dash Camera was selected for meeting the required specifications at minimum cost.

Figure 1. Rearview mirror dual dash camera

3.2. Data collection

3.2.1. Device Set-up and Pilot Run

Prior to data collection, the devices were set up to ensure consistent data across all units. Date for each unit was set, and time was synchronized. The dash cameras used were capable of recording video footage with resolution up to 1296P (2304×1296 pixels); however, the lower resolution of 1080P (1920×1080 pixels) was used to maximize the camera memory, which is only expandable up to 32 gigabytes (G).

The dash camera software writes video files to the memory card in fixed intervals. For this study, a three-minute interval was selected to ensure that the file sizes of the videos were manageable. Splicing the recording into three-minute videos also make it easier to review the video files during data processing.

A pilot run was conducted to:

a. Assess video quality taken by the device under normal light (day time) and low light conditions (night time);
b. Assess rear video quality and visibility of entrance and exit points;
c. Check the maximum number of hours of footage a 32-gigabyte memory card would be able to store using the video quality settings.

The device was installed by strapping it to the vehicle's rearview mirror. The rear camera was secured on top of the rearview mirror in order to get a clear view of the passengers and the vehicle's doors. Installation effort was minimal and required no special technical knowledge to execute. The following images show the device set-up.

Figure 2. Device set-up



Figure 3. Dash camera LCD display (L); Sample video footage (R)

The pilot run was conducted from afternoon to evening (16:00H to 23:00HH) in order to capture sample footage of video quality during day time and night time.

Upon review of the files, it was found out that the 32G memory card is able to store six hours, ten minutes, and 42 seconds (6:10:42) of continuous front and rear video recording. The dash camera software saves the front and rear camera videos into separate folders and saves the videos in MOV file format. The saved videos are automatically assigned file names corresponding to the date and time the video was created, consistent with the information shown in the video's time stamps.

Video quality was inspected and the 1080P video resolution was confirmed to be sufficient to capture the level of detail required for travel time and delay data collection for both normal- and low-light conditions. During the pilot run, it was found out that the rearview camera had a fixed 720P resolution, which suffered from significant image noise during low-light conditions. Fortunately, the number of boarding and alighting passengers can still be extracted from the low-quality footage.

Figure 4. Sample video footage: day time (L) and night time (R)

3.2.2. Travel Time & Delay and Boarding & Alighting Test Runs

Pre-Survey

The proposed method only requires one surveyor (per route or starting point) to execute. However, the following preparations must be conducted prior to the survey runs:

a. Vehicle Selection
   The viewing angle of the device must be devoid of any obstructions on the windshield. It is important to select the units that will allow a clear view of the road, traffic conditions, and traffic signals. This drastically affects the roster of eligible units since UV Express Vehicles are required to bear service markings, with most units having opaque "UV Express Service" markings placed across the upper portion of the windshield.
b. Driver Briefing
   Drivers cooperation is an integral part of the methodology. Drivers of the selected units must be properly informed of the objective of the study, and the kind of data that will be collected. The driver's knowledge of the device will also help ensure that data will not be accidentally lost or overwritten. To safeguard the devices, it is important to establish rapport with the drivers and to collect basic information such as proof of identification, contact details, and name of operator. Coordination with the operators or driver associations are also recommended.
c. Device installation
   Dash camera units must be installed in the vehicles at least one day before the scheduled day of data collection. This is to ensure proper installation of the devices; loosely-fastened units may fall off during the time of data collection and result to loss of data.

Once pre-survey preparations are taken care of, the devices will be able to collect video footage of the trips taken by the selected units. Depending on the study needs, the duration of data collection, and the capacity of the device memory, additional memory cards may have to be used.

Actual Survey

For this study, the vehicles with installed dash cameras were deployed to collect data on a 3.9-kilometer route along Marcos Highway, from the Light Rail Transit Line 2 (LRT-2) going to SM Masinag.

Marcos Highway is a ten-lane secondary national road and is a component of Radial Road 6 (R-6). The section under study covers the length of Marcos Highway located in the cities of Marikina and Antipolo which caters to 5 lanes of traffic per direction, separated by medians approximately 5 meters in width. Survey stations were selected based on prominence and visibility (day time and night time); and distances between stations were kept as uniform as possible. Station details are provided in the table below, while a map of the study area and location of the stations is shown in Figure 5.

Table 2. Survey stations

Station No	Landmark	Location	Distance (m)	Cumulative Distance (m)
1	LRT 2 - Santolan Station	Midblock	-	-
2	Shell MH Highway-Del Pilar	Midblock	350	350

3	Amang Rodriguez Avenue	Intersection	450	800
4	F. Mariano Avenue	Intersection	550	1,350
5	Felix Avenue	Intersection	500	1,850
6	Town and Country Subd.	Midblock	500	2,350
7	Isuzu Rizal	Midblock	450	2,800
8	Filinvest Homes East Subd.	Midblock	550	3,350
9	SM Masinag	Midblock	550	3,900



Figure 5. Map of study area

Three sets of evening data were collected from three different vehicles.

Table 3. Test Run Details

Run	**Time**		**Duration**
	Start	Finish	(hh:mm:ss)
1	19:42:35	19:53:31	00:10:56
2	18:24:08	18:41:54	00:17:46
3	20:19:33	20:29:38	00:10:05

3.3. Data Processing

Travel Time and Delay

Encoders were tasked to view the front camera videos and take note of the passing time for each control point, as well as record stopped time (duration of delay), and the causes and location of these delays. Encoders were given freedom to speed up, slow down, pause, and review the videos as needed to efficiently and accurately record information. Time stamps in the videos allowed the encoders to record time data accurate up to 1 second. Information were recorded directly as digital files using Microsoft Office Excel. It was noted that encoding using this method took twice the amount of time as the duration of the video.

To serve as a control group, another set of encoders were tasked to record travel time and delay manually on a piece of paper as they would on-board the vehicle. The control group noted data using real-time video speeds only and were not permitted to pause the video, to simulate on-board manual data collection methods. The manual recording took the same amount of time as the video duration, while encoding the data took about a fourth of the time as the duration of the video, on average.

Boarding and Alighting Data

Rear camera videos were used to record information on the passenger load for the trip. Similar to the previous task, encoders watched the rear camera video footage and observed the number of boarding and alighting passengers per stop. Using the information from the travel time and delay data, the specific time of loading or unloading can be easily identified; this reduces the number of videos that need to be viewed by the encoders as they only need to check the files containing the time intervals that were noted to have loading and unloading activities.

Since on-board conditions for passenger loading data collection cannot be simulated from the video files, no control group was created for this task.

3.4. Data Privacy

The proposed method involves the collection of what is considered as personal information[2] under Philippine laws. As such, measures to protect individuals' right to privacy must be taken.

During data collection, information in the form of posters and notices shall be posted in the terminal buildings and general waiting areas. The notice shall minimally contain information on: the kind of information that will be collected (in this case, video footage), purpose of data collection, and manner of processing. Additional details that cannot be reasonably places in the notice shall be provided through a link or quick response (QR) code.

Passengers who wish to be excluded in the activity shall be allowed to opt out and select another vehicle to board.

3.5. Data Management

Due to the sensitive nature of the raw footage that will be collected, special care must be exercised when handling these information.

Storage

Videos saved in the camera memory cards shall be retrieved immediately after each run and saved either to a laptop or an external memory drive. To avoid loss of data, back-up files shall be saved in a secure system as soon as practically possible. A viable option of backing up files without compromising accessibility is by uploading it to a secure cloud storage.

Access and Security

Due to the confidential nature of the footage, access to such storage shall be limited and monitored. Access to the raw files shall be on a per-need basis and must duly authorized by the collecting body (i.e. researcher, or organization). Access may also be granted, upon request, to individuals whose personal information are contained in the footage. No copies of the data shall be made without the explicit authorization and documentation.

[2] Personal information is defined as any information from which the identity of an individual is apparent or can be reasonably and directly ascertained

Collecting body shall set up appropriate measures to safeguard the storage of the documents from unauthorized access. Under Philippine laws, organizations collecting and handling such information are required to create Data Privacy Teams that oversee compliance with the Data Privacy Act and to ensure security.

Retention and Disposal

Raw files and video footage shall be stored for at least 5 years, or when the data is deemed obsolete (i.e. superseded by the availability of new data). All copies must be destroyed, and the process of disposal must be properly carried out and documented.

4. RESULTS

4.1. Travel Time and Delay

Table 4 summarizes the travel speed and running speeds derived from the data collected.

Table 4. Calculated speeds per segment

Sta. No	Cum. Dist.	Control Group						Test Group					
		Run 1		Run 2		Run 3		Run 1		Run 2		Run 3	
	(m)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)	T. S. (kph)	R. S. (kph)
1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	350	6.12	6.21	40.65	40.65	40.65	40.65	6.30	6.40	42.00	42.00	39.37	39.37
3	800	9.64	9.94	20.77	24.55	26.13	26.13	9.20	9.47	20.77	23.82	27.00	27.00
4	1,350	7.80	8.35	30.46	30.46	26.40	26.40	8.05	8.80	30.94	30.94	26.40	26.40
5	1,850	11.46	12.41	15.25	17.82	13.04	13.53	11.46	12.77	15.00	17.48	12.77	13.24
6	2,350	21.18	25.35	23.08	29.03	17.65	22.78	20.93	25.35	23.38	30.00	18.00	23.08
7	2,800	43.78	43.78	67.50	67.50	43.78	43.78	42.63	42.63	70.43	70.43	43.78	43.78
8	3,350	24.75	31.94	38.08	50.77	27.89	34.14	24.15	30.94	36.67	47.14	27.12	34.74
9	3,900	23.86	27.12	9.43	12.69	22.25	29.55	24.44	28.29	9.43	13.94	22.76	31.94
Average		**18.57**	**20.64**	**30.65**	**34.18**	**27.22**	**29.62**	**18.40**	**20.58**	**31.08**	**34.47**	**27.15**	**29.94**

Note: T.S. – Travel Speed; R.S. – Running Speed

It can be observed from the table that differences in the data between the two groups range from 0 to 3.63, while the differences in the average speeds per run range from 0.06 to 0.43.

It can be further noted that within the groups, travel speeds and running speeds only differ by a small margin. This signifies that the experience stop delays for the route were minimal. The differences in travel speed and running speed are shown in the following speed maps. Computed speeds using the values from the Test (encoder) group were used in generating the maps.

Differences in the computed values using the data from the two encoder groups are also shown in the Speed – Distance and Travel time – Distance diagrams that follow.

Figure 6. Speed map (Run 1)



Figure 7. Speed – distance diagram (Run 1)



Figure 8. Travel time – distance diagram (Run 1)

Figure 9. Speed map (Run 2)

Figure 10. Speed – distance diagram (Run 2)



Figure 11. Travel time – distance diagram (Run 2)

Figure 12. Speed map (Run 3)



Figure 13. Speed – distance diagram (Run 3)



Figure 14. Travel time – distance diagram (Run 3)

4.2. Boarding and Alighting Data

The passenger load profile for the three runs are shown in figure below.



Figure 15. Passenger Load Profile

Since the captured runs were homebound trips, no loading activities were noted. The location of the unloading points are highlighted in the map below. The location of the major transfer points can be easily identified from the two representations shown.

Figure 16. Number of unloading passengers per location

4.3. Analysis

A series of statistical tests were performed to test the validity of the results generated using the test (proposed) method. Since the accuracy of the determination of travel time data is highly dependent on the method and instrumentation used, a benchmark on the "most correct" or "most accurate" travel time data is highly impractical to generate. In the absence on an absolute "truth" to refer to, a comparison on the correctness and accuracy of the test (proposed) method and the control (manual) method cannot be made.

Hence, the validity of the test method will be confirmed by assessing if there exists a significant difference between the test method and the manual method, i.e. if the two methods are the same (H_0), or different (H_a).

Test for normality

Before any tests can be conducted, the distribution of the data must first be confirmed. Given the small sample size of the data, the Shapiro-Wilk Test will be used to test the normality of the distribution. The null hypothesis for this test is that the data is normally distributed. The null hypothesis is rejected if the computed value (W) is less than the p-values at the chosen level of alpha. Table 5 summarizes the obtained values for the sets of speed data that were calculated.

Table 5. Summary of computed values for the S-W Test

Variable	Control Group						Test Group					
	Run 1		Run 2		Run 3		Run 1		Run 2		Run 3	
	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.
Mean	18.57	20.64	30.65	34.18	27.22	29.62	18.40	20.58	31.08	34.47	27.15	29.94
Standard Deviation	12.59	13.46	18.34	18.09	10.52	9.79	12.24	13.09	19.24	18.39	10.26	9.67
W	0.876	0.907	0.922	0.944	0.933	0.972	0.876	0.903	0.913	0.925	0.941	0.983
Threshold $\alpha = 0.05$	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818	0.818

Notes: T.S. – Travel Speed; R.S. – Running Speed
n – sample size; W – Shapiro-Wilk Statistic

All the calculated values for W are greater than the threshold p-values at 95% significance (alpha level of 0.05) for all runs, hence, the null hypothesis is accepted. The data is said to be normal.

Repeated Measures t-test (Paired t-test)

Since we are only interested in determining if there exists a significant difference between the two groups, a two-tailed paired t-test will be conducted. A paired t-test compares the means of two sets of data. The differences are hypothesized to be zero i.e. there is no difference between the means of the two groups. This null hypothesis is rejected if the corresponding p-values for the computed t-values are greater than the critical p-values at the chosen level of alpha.

The results from the control group are compared with the test group. Results of the paired t-test are summarized in the following table:

Table 6. Summary of computed values for the t-test

Variable	Run 1		Run 2		Run 3	
	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.
Mean (of differences)	-0.18	-0.06	0.43	0.29	-0.07	0.32
t-value	-0.9199	-0.2040	0.9447	0.4157	-0.2992	0.8673
p-value	0.3882	0.8441	0.3763	0.6901	0.7734	0.4145
Threshold p-value $\alpha = 0.05$	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365	2.365

Notes: T.S. – Travel Speed;
R.S. – Running Speed

The computed p-values for all runs are less than the critical p-values, thus, the null hypothesis is accepted, and we can conclude that there is no difference between the results obtained from both methods.

Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

An additional test is conducted to assess the validity of the obtained results. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test is a non-parametric alternative to the paired t-test. This check is conducted as the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality, despite being the most powerful test for normality, is still noted to have low power for small sample sizes (Razali & Wah, 2011).

The null hypothesis of the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank test assumes that the differences in the population median is zero (i.e. two groups are the same). The null hypothesis is rejected if the computed values for T is less than the critical value at the chosen alpha level. Table 7 summarizes the values computed for this test.

Table 7. Summary of computed values for the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test

Variable	Run 1		Run 2		Run 3	
	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.	T. S.	R. S.
n	7	7	6	8	6	6
W^+	19	15	15	24	11	6
W^-	9	13	6	12	10	15
T (min $\{W^+, W^-\}$)	9	13	6	12	10	6
$T_{critical}$ $\alpha = 0.05$	2	2	0	3	0	0

Notes: T.S. – Travel Speed; R.S. – Running Speed

The computed T-values for all runs are greater than the critical T-values at the 95% confidence level. The null hypothesis is accepted and reinforces the results of the t-test that there is no significant difference between results obtained from the control (manual) and test (proposed) methods.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Results of the limited tests conducted establish the validity of the proposed data collection method. Both statistical tests confirm that the proposed method performs equally well as the traditional manual method for collecting travel time and delay data.

The camera-aided method presents a simple and efficient means of collecting travel time and delay and passenger load data in low-capacity public transportation vehicles without compromising occupancy and boarding/alighting data. The method also reduces the data collection process to office work, cutting manpower requirements, and minimizing exposure to work hazards on-field. Aside from this, it also enables researchers to review and double check collected information by accessing the stored files, reducing human errors and providing a means to rectify any errors that may arise during the data processing stage.

Data storage limitations pose to be one of the main drawbacks of the method. This can be avoided by selecting a higher-capacity camera, or by modifying the equipment to store additional information to an external high-capacity storage device such as an external memory drive. Another workaround would be to instruct the drivers to replace the memory cards in the device; however, in order for this approach to work, the drivers might have to be minimally compensated for their involvement in the data collection efforts.

The method was developed to collect data on UV Express Services, but the concept can also be applied to collect information on public transportation modes that are compatible with the device. The method could be used to collect travel time, delay, and passenger load information for the assessment of the new jeepney units rolled out for the jeepney modernization program.

Further tests using GPS-equipped dual dash cameras are recommended. Although these additional features would translate to additional costs, it would also increase efficiency by automating the travel time data collection, leaving the dash cam footage for use in identification of causes of delay, and as back-up data for areas where the GPS receivers might perform poorly (e.g. under flyovers and dense trees, and between high-rise buildings).

The automation potential of the method would also be an interesting thing to explore. Vision-based object detection and object-counting technologies currently used in traffic volume counts could improve efficiency of data encoding particularly for boarding and alighting counts, and eliminate the need for manual encoding.

Below is an updated table of comparison of test vehicle techniques, including the proposed camera-aided method.

Table 8. Comparison of Camera-Aided Method with other Test Vehicle Travel Time Data Collection Techniques [Table 1]

Instrumentation Level	Costs			Skill Level		Level of Data Detail	Data Accuracy	Automation Potential
	Capital	Data Collection	Data Reduction	Data Collection	Data Reduction			
Manual - Pen & Paper	Low	Moderate	High	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Tape Recorder	Low	Low	High	Low	Moderate	Low	Low	Low
Camera-Aided	Moderate	Low	High	Moderate	Moderate	High	Moderate	High
Portable Computer	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	Low	Moderate	Moderate
Distance Measuring Instrument (DMI)	High	Low	Low	Moderate	Low	High	Moderate	High
Global Positioning System (GPS)	High	Low	Moderate	Moderate	High	High	High	High

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported by the Department of Science and Technology's Engineering Research and Development for Technology (DOST ERDT) program.

REFERENCES

Department of Transportation (2017, October). Route Planning. *Local Public Transport Route Plan Manual,* 29-30

Belliss, G. (2004). Detailed Speed and Travel Time Surveys using Low Cost GPS Equipment. *IPENZ Transportation Group Technical Conference 2004*. St James Theatre, Wellington, NZ.

Faghri, A. *et. al.* (2014). Evaluation of the Accuracy and Automation of Travel Time and Delay Data Collection Methods. *Journal of Transportation Technologies*. 2014, 4, 72-83

Hess, B. F. *et. al.* (2012). Evaluation of Fine-Granular GPS Tracking on Smartphones. *Proceedings of the first ACM SIGSPATIAL International Workshop on Mobile Geographic Information Systems.* Redondo Beach, CA.

Turner, S. M. (1998). Overview of Techniques. *Travel Time and Data Collection Handbook*. Texas: Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.

Li, M. et. al. (2013). Evaluation of Travel Time Data Collection Techniques: A Statistical Analysis. *International Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering.* 2013, 2(6): 149-158

Mathew, T. V. (2014). Travel Time Data Collection [Lecture Notes]. Transportation Systems Engineering. Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India.

Razali, N. M., Wah, Y. B. (2011). Power Comparisons of Shapiro-Wilk, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Lilliefors and Anderson-Darling Tests. *Journal for Statistical Modelling and Analytics*, Vol. 2 No. 1, 21-33

Various (2013) Boarding and Alighting Surveys. Data Collection and Analysis for Public Transport. WRI India Sustainable Cities.

Various (1998). TCRP Synthesis 29: Passenger Counting Technologies and Procedures. Transport Cooperative Research Program, Transportation Research Board, Federal Transit Administration

Vergel, K. N. (2016). Travel Time and Delay [PowerPoint Lecture]. *Transportation and Traffic Surveys Course Notes*. University of the Philippines – Diliman, Quezon City, Philippines. CE 246.